

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/731,745	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> G. Nagesh Rao	<b>Art Unit</b> 1722	

**All Participants:**

(1) G. Nagesh Rao.

(2) Robert Kramer.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*USC 103 Rejections*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Hoffman 512 and Empedocles 823*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed a proposed examiner's amendment for claims 5, 13, 15, 16, 23, and 30. Resulting in allowance for the case.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)